

Robert Pratt

From: Robert Pratt [pratt790@prattontexas.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 5:34 PM
To: 'Bidal Aguero'
Subject: RE: Question of the week

Hey, how about adding Juan Manuel Nunez to the list of possible responsible actors? I guess that didn't even occur to you as an option?

His death is a tragedy but other factors were the reason for the police being present to begin with. They didn't just drive around and pick his house to come and harass.

Your question implies that his actions do not bear any of the responsibility at all. Even if a police officer shoots someone during the commission of a crime, and it turns out the person was not armed or a threat, the criminal still bears the responsibility for being involved in the commission of the crime. The officer should be punished as well but the criminal does not become blameless just because another individual made a mistake. That's sort of the 2 wrongs make a right deal.

Robert

-----Original Message-----

From: Bidal Aguero [mailto:eleditor@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 5:21 PM
To: local 3
Subject: Question of the week

Because of the July 4th holiday, we are late in sending out our question of the week. Now we are forced with emailing to only a few of our friends hoping that they will send in their answers before our Thursday morning press deadline. We would ask that you answer our question and return as soon as possible. We appreciate your participation.

On Tuesday, North Korea test fired 6 missiles in defiance of a missile firing moratorium. How should the U.S. respond?

Who do you think should be held responsible in the TASER death of Juan Manuel Nunez? The police officer, the city of Lubbock, or the TASER company?